

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,837	02/09/2004	Jon Yeon Oh	2003P15546US01	8800
7	590 12/11/2006		EXAMINER	
Elsa Keller			BOES, TERENCE	
Intellectual Property Department SIEMENS CORPORATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
170 Wood Avenue South			3682	
Iselin, NJ 088	330		DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/774,837	OH ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Terence Boes	3682

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CON	IDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amen places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appea a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The time periods:	idment, affidavit, or other evidence, which eal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31, or (	(3) ng
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the da no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from	n the mailing date of the final rejection.	In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the correspondi under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	ing amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2)	ee ) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.3 filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period se <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sin-	of ce
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of fili		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search	h (see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by ma appeal; and/or		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of	f finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice	of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a non-allowable claim(s).		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, o how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-10,12-17,19 and 20</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	SEN - A Notice of Association and be automated	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	the affidavit or other evidence is necessary a	nd
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but pentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections up showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier pre-	nder appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a	a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claim		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the ap	oplication in condition for allowance because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s 13. Other:	3).	
·	RICHARD RIDLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE	) ≘R

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to the claim raises new issues and would require further search and consideration.